# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

LIQWD, INC. and OLAPLEX LLC,	)
Plaintiffs,	)
v.	) C. A. No. 17-14 (JFB) (SRF)
L'ORÉAL USA, INC., L'ORÉAL USA PRODUCTS, INC, L'ORÉAL USA S/D, INC., and REDKEN 5 <sup>TH</sup> AVENUE NYC, L.L.C.,	) ) ) )
Defendants.	)

#### **DEFENDANTS' PROPOSED JURY VERDICT FORM**

#### Of Counsel:

Dennis S. Ellis Katherine F. Murray Adam M. Reich PAUL HASTINGS LLP 515 South Flower Street, 25th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 (213) 683-6000

Naveen Modi Joseph E. Palys Daniel Zeilberger PAUL HASTINGS LLP 875 15th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 551-1990

Scott F. Peachman PAUL HASTINGS LLP 200 Park Avenue New York, NY 10166 (212) 318-6000

Dated: July 30, 2019

Frederick L. Cottrell, III (#2555)
Jeffrey L. Moyer (#3309)
Katharine L. Mowery (#5629)
RICHARDS, LAYTON & FINGER, P.A.
One Rodney Square
920 N. King Street
Wilmington, Delaware 19801
(302) 651-7700
cottrell@rlf.com
moyer@rlf.com
mowery@rlf.com

Attorneys for Defendants L'Oréal USA, Inc., L'Oréal USA Products, Inc., L'Oréal USA S/D, Inc. and Redken 5th Avenue NYC, LLC **Instructions:** When answering the following questions and filling out this Verdict Form, please follow the directions provided throughout the form. Your answer to each question must be unanimous. Please refer to the Jury Instructions for guidance on the law applicable to the subject matter covered by each question.

#### **QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**

We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions and return them under the instructions of this court as our verdict in this case.

#### I. U.S. PATENT NO. 9,498,419 (THE '419 PATENT)

1. Have L'Oréal USA, Inc., L'Oréal USA Products, Inc., L'Oréal USA S/D, Inc., and Redken Fifth Avenue NYC, LLC's (collectively referred to as "L'Oréal USA") proven that any of the following claims of the '419 Patent is invalid as being anticipated and/or obvious? For each claim, check ("x") in the appropriate boxes below to indicate the claim that is invalid and the reason why:

'419 Patent	Anticipated	Obvious
Claim 1		
Claim 10		

2. Has L'Oréal USA proven that any of the asserted claims of the '419 Patent is invalid for lack of written description and/or lack of enablement? For each claim, check ("x") in the appropriate boxes below to indicate the claim that is invalid and the reason why:

'419 Patent	Insufficient Written Description	Lack of Enablement
Claim 1		
Claim 10		

Go to Section II.

### II. U.S. PATENT NO. 9,668,954 (THE '954 PATENT)

3. Has L'Oréal USA proven that one or more of the following claims of the '954 Patent is invalid as anticipated and/or obvious? For each claim, check ("x") in the appropriate boxes below to indicate the claim that is invalid and the reason why:

'954 Patent	Anticipated	Obvious
Claim 1		
Claim 4		
Claim 11		
Claim 12		
Claim 13		
Claim 14		
Claim 15		
Claim 16		
Claim 19		
Claim 20		
Claim 24		
Claim 25		
Claim 26		
Claim 30		

4. Has L'Oréal USA proven that one or more of the following claims of the '954 Patent is invalid for lack of written description and/or lack of enablement? For each claim, check ("x") in the appropriate boxes below to indicate the claim that is invalid and the reason why:

'954 Patent	Insufficient Written Description	Lack of Enablement
Claim 1		
Claim 4		
Claim 11		
Claim 12		
Claim 13		
Claim 14		
Claim 15		
Claim 16		
Claim 19		
Claim 20		
Claim 24		
Claim 25		
Claim 26		
Claim 30		

If you have found all of the asserted claims of the '419 Patent and the '954 Patent invalid then skip to Section III, Question 7.

# 

If you have not found all of the	asserted claims of th	ie '419 Patent and the	e '954 Patent invalid
then go to Question 5.			

	5.	What is the total dollar amount of damages that Olaplex is entitled to recover for	
		L'Oréal USA's infringement of the '419 Patent and/or the '954 Patent?	
		\$	
	6.	Has Olaplex proven that L'Oréal USA's infringement was willful?	
		Yes No	
Go to S	Section	III.	
III.	TRAD	DE SECRET MISAPPROPRIATION	
	7.	Has Olaplex proven that on May 19, 2015, it possessed specific, identifiable trade	
		secrets as identified in Jury Instruction Nos. 5.2 through 5.5?	
		Yes No	
		red "No" to Question 7, skip to Section IV. red "Yes" to Question 7, go to Question 8.	
	8.	Has Olaplex proven that L'Oréal USA misappropriated Olaplex's trade secret	
		information in violation of the Defend Trade Secrets Act or the Delaware Uniform	
		Trade Secrets Act, as set forth in Jury Instruction Nos. 5.6 through 5.9?	
		Yes No	
		ed "No" to Question 8, skip to Section IV, Question 13. ed "Yes" to Question 8, go to Question 9.	

	9.	Identify the specific, identifiable trade secrets L'Oreal USA misappropriated:
Go to Q	Questio	n 10.
	10.	Is Olaplex entitled to damages for misappropriation of trade secrets beyond the
		damages awarded for infringement in response to Question 5?
		Yes No
	11.	If you answered "Yes" to Question 10, what amount of damages is Olaplex
		entitled to recover for the misappropriation of its trade secret information that is
		not already covered by the damages awarded in response to Question 5?
		\$
	12.	Has Olaplex proven that L'Oréal USA's misappropriation of Olaplex's trade
		secret information was willful and malicious as set forth in Jury Instruction No.
		5.14?
		Yes No
Go to S	ection	IV.

Case 1:17-cv-00014-JFB-SRF Document 1037-1 Filed 07/30/19 Page 8 of 9 PageID #: 63777

IV.	BREACH	$\mathbf{OF}$	CONTR	ACT
	DIVERSE	$\mathbf{v}$		$\mathcal{L}$

IV.	DKLA	ICH OF CONTRACT
	13.	Has Olaplex proven that L'Oréal USA, Inc. breached one or more contractual
		obligations under the parties' May 2015 Non-Disclosure Agreements as required
		by Jury Instruction Nos. 4.2 and 4.3?
		Yes No
		ed "No" to Question 13, skip to Section V. ed "Yes" to Question 13, proceed to Question 14.
	14.	Is Olaplex entitled to damages beyond any damages you already awarded for
		infringement in response to Question 5 and for trade secret misappropriation in
		response to Question 11?
		Yes No
		ed "No" to Question 14, skip to Section V. ed "Yes" to Question 14, proceed to Question 15.
	15.	If you answered "Yes" to Question 14, what is the total dollar amount that Olaplex
		is entitled to recover for the breach of contract beyond any damages already awarded
		for infringement in response to Question 5 and for trade secret misappropriation in
		response to Question 11?
		<b>¢</b>

Page **8** of **9** 

Go to Section V.

## V. CONCLUSION

You have reached the end of the verdict form. Review the completed form to ensure that it accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. All jurors should then sign the verdict form in the space below and notify the Court Security Officer that you have reached a verdict. The Foreperson should retain possession of the verdict form and bring it to the courtroom with the jury.

Date	
	Foreperson
	Juror